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## Budget consultation 2021: What we've heard

## Key highlights

- A total of 8,348 responses during our four week budget consultation period.
- When asked, $66.1 \%$ of participants would support a 29 p per week ${ }^{1}$ increase in the precept.
- Support for the increase ranges across districts from $73.26 \%$ in Hart to $62.07 \%$ in Rushmoor.
- Where an increase in the precept should be spent: Crime prevention (34.26\%); Youth diversion (29.14\%); ASB (28.39\%)
- Residents want to see accountability for the precept increase, an end of year review following the Chief Constables operational case for the 29 p per week increase.


## Background

This past year we have all faced unprecedented challenges. With the impact of Covid-19 we are together navigating the landscape as best as we can, with the resources available to us. Here at the OPCC we have continued to engage with our residents, ensuring their voices are heard and acted upon. The 2021/22 Budget consultation has been slightly different this year, our approach has been to utilise our open networks and publish online surveys ${ }^{2}$, to utilise our YouGov survey 500 and to undertake a virtual focus group with members of the OPCC Consultation and Focus Group panel.

Hampshire and the Isle of Wight has a population of around 2 million residents (ONS est. 2020 $1,991,338$ ) with a near $50 / 50$ gender split (female population $50.57 \%$, male population $49.42 \%$ ). With a predominantly white (92.9\%) self-defined ethnicity for Hampshire residents, the districts of Southampton ( $7.47 \%$ ) and Basingstoke and Deane (5.71\%) see higher Asian populations, and Portsmouth (3.72\%) and Southampton (3.54\%) seeing higher populations of those self-defined as Black. Fareham sees a $100 \%$ British nationality population compared to Southampton where $20 \%$ of the population are non-British. Southampton ( $16.7 \%$ and $17 \%$ ) and Portsmouth ( $15 \%$ and $16 \%$ ) sees higher numbers of those aged 18-24yrs and 24-34yrs compared to Hampshire and the Isle of Wight, those aged 65 and over are predominantly on the Isle of Wight (27.7\%) and in Hampshire (21\%).

Over $85 \%$ of the area covered by Hampshire County Council is rural whilst $85 \%$ of the population in Hampshire live on $15 \%$ of the land, with the majority living on the south coast and the north of the county.

Our diverse population generates much opportunity for engagement. This budget survey covers a 6 week consultation and engagement period from early December 2020 following the government's announcement of the Police and Crime Commissioners maximum precept increase to mid-January 2021. With a total of 8,348 responses from across multiple platforms here's what we've heard during our budget consultation period for 2021/22:

[^0]
## YouGov

YouGov ${ }^{3}$ is a research and analytics organisation who have the ability to track and interact with the public on a wide range of topics and issues. Here at the OPCC we have invested into 'Profiles', this services allows us to better understand what the residents of Hampshire, Isle of Wight, Portsmouth and Southampton say and feel in relation to policing.

Our YouGov survey data is demographically weighted across our 14 districts to ensure the data is robust. Every 6 months we run a survey with 500 different residents. To date we now have 4000 demographically weighted responses to the core questions in our Survey 500 in tracking feelings of safety and sentiment to policing. Here we will be exploring the views of residents around the precept and where they would like to see any additional funding spent. For full data tables see appendix 1 .
Our 500 residents demographically weighted across our 14 districts, in December 2020 told us:

- $67 \%$ of residents are in support of the $29 p p w$ increase to the precept.
- The New Forest (77.03\%), Eastleigh (76.71\%) and Gosport (76.13\%) are the districts which shows the highest support for the precept increase.
- Hart (41.20\%), Southampton (46.60\%) and the IOW (50.50\%) are the districts showing the lowest support for

| Yes | $67.01 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| No | $13.38 \%$ |
| Don't know | $11.41 \%$ |
| I don't think the police <br> needs increased funding | $8.19 \%$ | the 29ppw increase.

Across all ages and gender breakdowns we see support in the 29ppw increase apart from females $18-24$ at $37.69 \%$ compared to the average of all other females at $69.11 \%$.

|  | Female |  | Female | Female | Female | Female | Male | Male | Male | Male | Male |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $18-24$ | $25-34$ | $35-44$ | $45-54$ | $55+$ | $18-24$ | $25-34$ | $35-44$ | $45-54$ | $55+$ | All |
| Yes, I would | $37.69 \%$ | $59.16 \%$ | $71.25 \%$ | $56.37 \%$ | $72.75 \%$ | $64.53 \%$ | $73.44 \%$ | $61.88 \%$ | $71.55 \%$ | $74.13 \%$ | $66.94 \%$ |

When looking at ethnicity of participants $93.32 \%$ of the 500 participants are White British, this reflects the population of Hampshire and the Isle of Wight, with those from different ethnic backgrounds and our seldom heard groups making up the rest of our sample. Those who support the 29ppw increase are White British (66.16\%), any other White background (78.96\%) and Indian (76.37\%).

[^1]We asked participants to select their top three priority areas for where police funding should be spent in relation to an increase in the precept. The top three areas residents want to see more funding in is:

- Crime prevention (34.26\%)
- Youth diversion (29.14\%)
- ASB (28.39\%)

ASB is a priority area/area of concern for residents, this is highlighted each time we run our survey 500.

When looking across our districts we can see Gosport (58.26\%), Basingstoke and Deane (48.15\%) and Test Valley ( $47.38 \%$ ) are the top three districts for selecting crime prevention as a priority area for further funding. Gosport (44.98\%) and Test Valley (35.10\%) also come out on top for selecting ASB alongside Havant (35.61\%). We can also see that Eastleigh is the highest district in wanting knife crime prioritised (31.48\%), Fareham is the highest district in wanting the effects of drug and alcohol abuse prioritised (24.96\%) and the Isle of Wight shows violent crimes is a priority area (46.92\%).

| Crime prevention | $34.26 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Youth diversion (i.e. activities/ .. | $29.14 \%$ |
| Anti-social behaviour | $28.39 \%$ |
| Violent crimes | $26.77 \%$ |
| Theft (i.e. burglary and vehicle.. | $21.80 \%$ |
| Domestic abuse | $18.60 \%$ |
| Knife crime | $15.37 \%$ |
| Targeted operational policing | $13.65 \%$ |
| Fraud/ scams | $13.30 \%$ |
| Effects of drug and alcohol ab.. | $13.24 \%$ |
| Supporting victims | $12.24 \%$ |
| Hate crime | $8.60 \%$ |
| Cyber crime | $8.33 \%$ |
| Road safety | $7.41 \%$ |
| Police officer welfare/wellbeing | $6.84 \%$ |
| Rural crime | $6.15 \%$ |
| Don't know | $5.94 \%$ |
| Increased police forensic capa.. | $5.24 \%$ |
| Business crime e.g. shoplifting | $2.51 \%$ |
| Other | $1.31 \%$ |

We can see from our participants across age and gender females $18-24$ see supporting victims (34.49\%) more as a priority area compared to other age and genders. We know from our police data that those 18-24 are more likely to report being victims of a crime here in Hampshire and the Isle of Wight. Interestingly we can see that males 25-34 (16.50\%) and Males 55+ (12.63\%) select cybercrimes more than any other age or gender, this could be related to the types of fraud/scams these age groups are more likely to fall victim to e.g. 24-34 age group for sextortion and revenge porn vs 55+ for financial scams.

From the data, when exploring ethnicity we see that those who identify as Indian have selected knife crime ( $94.41 \%$ ) as a priority area. Those who are White and Black Caribbean and Caribbean have selected youth diversion (100\%) as a priority area. Those who prefer not to say their ethnicity, theft ( $71.97 \%$ ) is a priority area of focus.

## Budget survey 2021

Our initial engagement with residents around the budget 2021/22 started via a joint OPCC and Hampshire Constabulary Covid-19 survey to the public, seeking insight into thoughts and sentiment around the second lockdown period. A question was added between December $7^{\text {th }}$ to $21^{\text {st }} 2020$. Participants were asked if they would be willing to pay more to the policing element of the council tax to maintain the police service here in Hampshire, Isle of Wight, Portsmouth and Southampton. Early insights showed us that $58.4 \%$ of participants would be willing to pay more and $17.5 \%$ didn't know.

This year our budget consultation survey ran for four weeks (17/12/2020 to 16/01/2021), this year we received a total of 5,427 responses with a $97 \%(5,191)$ completion rate. We've had a positive representation from across all districts (see appendix 2 for full data tables), with the top responding districts being:

- New Forest (9.08\%)
- Eastleigh (8.97\%)
- Basingstoke and Deane (8.68\%)

Overall we've been told that $66.1 \%$ of participants would support a 29 ppw increase in the precept. Support for the increase ranges across districts from 73.26\% in Hart to 62.07\% in Rushmoor. Looking at our 14 districts, the top three districts in support of the 29 ppw increase are:

- Hart (73.26\%)
- Test Valley (72.59\%)
- Eastleigh (71.66\%)

When looking at age and gender of our participants we see that $57.05 \%$ are male, $34.07 \%$ are female, $0.29 \%$ are trans and $3.2 \%$ of participants prefer not to say. The majority of participants were those ages $65-74 y$ yrs (25.06\%) followed by those 55-64 (19.40\%) and those 75+ (14.19\%). Support for the increase ranged from $73 \%$ for those $75+$ to $54.14 \%$ for those $25-34$. Both males (64.92\%) and females (74.58\%) support the increase, however those trans ( $81.25 \%$ ) and those who prefer not to say (75\%) oppose the increase. We can see from the data that all males and females across all age groups are

| My age is: | Yes, I would | I don't know | No, I would not |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15-17 | 57.14\% |  | 42.86\% |
| 18-24 | 68.89\% | 2.22\% | 28.89\% |
| 25-34 | 54.14\% | 3.87\% | 41.99\% |
| 35-44 | 60.49\% | 3.46\% | 36.05\% |
| 45-54 | 63.57\% | 2.95\% | 33.48\% |
| 55-64 | 62.30\% | 4.46\% | 33.24\% |
| 65-74 | 71.03\% | 5.00\% | 23.97\% |
| 75+ | 73.51\% | 4.94\% | 21.56\% | more than 50\% in support, with the lowest level of support from males 25-34 (52.50\%) and the highest level of support females 75+ (81.91\%).

Of the participants who took part $84.74 \%$ identify themselves as White British. Of those who are White British $68.86 \%$ support the increase proposed. Other ethnic groups supporting the increase are those who identify as Asian- Pakistani (60\%), White and Black Caribbean (66.67\%) and any other mixed background (77.27\%) to name a few.

## Consultation and focus group panel

Our consultation and focus group panel is made up of local residents who have a desire to share their thoughts and views around policing and keeping safe on a regular basis. They have signed up to become a panel member, and they each chose which surveys, consultations and focus groups to take part in. Currently this panel is made up of just over 400 participants from across all 14 districts. Representation from those under 35 is lower than we would like and for 2021 we have a focus to work on demographic representation of our panel members where possible.

## Survey

We had a total of 127 responses with a $100 \%$ response rate to the budget survey via Alchemer. The questions asked were the same as our YouGov survey, seeking views on the support or opposition of the 29ppw increase and where residents would like to see any additional funding spent. There is a broad spread of completion of the survey across our districts with all 14 districts being represented, the lowest number of participants being in Hart at $2.36 \%$ to joint top 3 districts being represented at $10.24 \%$ are Test Valley, New Forest and Basingstoke and Deane.

Out of our 127 responses $66.9 \%$ support a 29 ppw increase to the precept. Districts showing the most support for the increase are:

- Test Valley (92.31\%)
- Eastleigh (88.89\%)
- East Hampshire and Portsmouth (83.33)

Interestingly this data set show a 50/50 between our Southampton residents supporting and opposing the proposed increase. The district of Hart is in a three way tie for support, not knowing and opposition to the increase.

Of the 127 participants who took part, the majority of responses come from those aged 55-64 (22.05\%), 65-74 (43.32\%) and 75+

| My age: | Yes, I would | Don't know | No, I would <br> not | Not applicable <br> -don't think <br> policing need <br> increased <br> funding |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1 8 - 2 4}$ | $100.00 \%$ |  |  |  |
| $25-34$ | $66.67 \%$ |  | $33.33 \%$ |  |
| $35-44$ | $60.00 \%$ |  | $40.00 \%$ |  |
| $45-54$ | $36.36 \%$ | $9.09 \%$ | $45.45 \%$ | $9.09 \%$ |
| $55-64$ | $67.86 \%$ |  | $32.14 \%$ |  |
| $65-74$ | $70.91 \%$ | $3.64 \%$ | $21.82 \%$ | $3.64 \%$ |
| $75+$ | $69.57 \%$ | $4.35 \%$ | $21.74 \%$ | $4.35 \%$ |

(18.11\%). We can see that all age groups apart from those aged $45-54$ support the 29 ppw by at least 60\%.

When looking at gender, males make up $62.20 \%$ of participants and females $36.22 \%$. Our female participants are more likely to support the increase with $78.26 \%$ compared to males at $59.49 \%$. When looking at age and gender we can see all age groups and genders support the increase except for males 35-44 (50\%) and 45-54 (25\%). Of the participants who have taken part 87.40\% identify themselves as White British. We can see that $69.37 \%$ of our White British participants support the 29ppw increase.

| Anti-social behaviour | $14.89 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Theft (i.e. burglary and ve.. | $9.68 \%$ |
| Knife crime | $8.19 \%$ |
| Youth diversion | $5.71 \%$ |
| Violent crimes | $5.71 \%$ |
| Rural crime | $5.46 \%$ |
| Effects of drug and alcoho.. | $5.46 \%$ |
| Targeted operational poli.. | $4.96 \%$ |
| Fraud/scams | $4.96 \%$ |
| Domestic abuse | $4.47 \%$ |
| Road safety | $4.22 \%$ |
| Police officer welfare/wel.. | $4.22 \%$ |
| Other | $4.22 \%$ |
| Cyber crime | $4.22 \%$ |
| Crime prevention | $3.23 \%$ |
| Business crime | $1.99 \%$ |
| Increased police forensic .. | $1.74 \%$ |
| Supporting victims | $1.49 \%$ |
| Hate crime | $0.74 \%$ |
| Don't know if policing nee.. | $0.25 \%$ |

We can see from the table that the top three areas policing need to priorities according to our participants is:

- ASB (14.89\%)
- $\quad$ Theft (9.68\%)
- $\quad$ Knife crime (8.19\%)

From the data, when looking at priority areas across our 14 districts we can see variance such as Gosport selecting officer welfare (13.64\%) more than any other district, with a number of districts not selecting this as a priority area at all. The Isle of Wight has selected the effects of drug and alcohol more than any other district. Hart (33.33\%), Eastleigh (25.93\%) and the Isle of Wight (25\%) all selected ASB the most.

The ethnicity of participants show they reflect the key themes across priority areas. Though with this participant set not being demographically representative, it does provide an insight into priority areas such as those who've identified as Asian-Indian have the top three priority areas of; knife crime (33.33\%), violent crimes (33.33\%) and business crime (33.33\%). We can infer these participants experiences could be related to their occupation e.g. business owner who has experienced/seen violence.

## Focus Group

While survey data (quantitative) is important to analyse, it is equally important to hear the narrative (qualitative) aspect of people's views too. Our focus groups this year were different to our usual process due to the current lockdown restrictions of Covid-19. We opted for a virtual approach to meet our residents, these were participants who volunteered from our consultation and focus group panel to share their thoughts and views on the precept.

We had 14 participants over two focus groups take part. Each focus group was for an hour and a half, and had an independent observer from the Police and Crime Panel to ensure the validity of each session taking place. Participants were shown a short video from the PCC ${ }^{4}$ introducing the focus group, followed by a 15 live minute talk from the Chief Constable Olivia Pinkney, then two slides highlighting the what the precept is, where current funding is spent, the current underfunding of Hampshire Constabulary from central government and the 29p per week increase being proposed.

We heard:

- Generally we heard reports of residents feeling safe in their community. Where residents stated they didn't feel safe there appeared to be a correlation to the lack of visible policing. One participant from Gosport highlighted that their local policing team have community officers who cycle around, that they a see police presence and was surprised that this was unique to Gosport and wasn't seen in other areas.
- We heard residents want their lower level crimes to be investigated: "Crime on your doorstep impacts public confidence more"
- Most of our participants recognised that is everyone's responsibility to help keep our communities safer and not just police: "everybody has the responsibility to keep communities safer" and "'safety is not equivalent to police".
- We did hear from residents asking "more transparency" and "they need to tell us more about what they do and be open and honest on what they haven't done and what they have done and what they want to improve"
- Assumption that everyone is on social media. This is an issue as those not on social media do not see any police presence and are not communicated with.
- We heard "I am willing to pay more if the money goes in the right areas" and "willing to pay the top amount but the police need to be more accountable to us". The majority of participants are in support of paying the $£ 15$ increase on the condition that the police show they can achieve their priorities and meet the statements made as part of this process. Policing needs to be held accountable if they do not meet the expectations as presented to the public.
- Again discussion around those who may not be able to afford the increase, recognising that this increase is not just the precept but other key areas such as health and social care: "if we want a top notch service, we are going to have to pay for it but the problem is it will hit the people who can least afford it"

[^2]Appendix 1: YouGov data tables
By district: Support or oppose 29ppw

|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 등 } \\ & \text { O} \\ & \text { O } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{E} \\ & \text { N } \\ & \text { Tu } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\#} \\ & \text { © } \\ & \frac{W}{O} \\ & \stackrel{L}{5} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 들 } \\ & \frac{0}{E} \\ & \text { 而 } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\mathrm{t}}{\pi} \\ & \frac{1}{1} \end{aligned}$ | ¢ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes, I would | 77.03\% | 76.71\% | 76.13\% | 72.64\% | 71.24\% | 67.35\% | 66.45\% | 64.81\% | 63.90\% | 63.10\% | 60.50\% | 50.50\% | 46.60\% | 41.20\% | 66.94\% |
| No, I would not | 7.13\% | 6.36\% | 13.70\% | 15.06\% | 3.10\% | 6.91\% | 12.21\% | 21.78\% | 16.68\% | 7.41\% | 25.21\% | 17.33\% | 11.42\% | 24.14\% | 13.41\% |
| Not applicable - I don't think policing needs increased funding | 4.70\% | 7.24\% | 3.27\% | 3.82\% | 9.69\% | 10.99\% | 9.69\% | 5.36\% | 12.08\% | 9.85\% | 4.45\% | 16.32\% | 24.51\% | 13.96\% | 8.21\% |
| Don't know | 11.15\% | 9.69\% | 6.90\% | 8.48\% | 15.97\% | 14.74\% | 11.65\% | 8.05\% | 7.34\% | 19.64\% | 9.83\% | 15.84\% | 17.46\% | 20.70\% | 11.44\% |

By district: areas to prioritise to receive funding

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\otimes}{E} \\ & \frac{5}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{10}{2} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | Guџ!॥dous '6'e әu! ssəu!sng | $\begin{aligned} & \text { あ } \\ & \stackrel{y}{5} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Isle of Wight | 39.14\% | 31.72\% | 35.32\% | 46.92\% | 13.33\% | 24.64\% | 23.48\% | 21.10\% | 8.74\% | 4.98\% | 0.00\% | 5.48\% | 5.02\% | 9.99\% | 10.27\% | 2.74\% | 2.47\% | 2.51\% | 2.51\% |
| Eastleigh | 25.96\% | 34.13\% | 25.67\% | 30.25\% | 31.42\% | 24.45\% | 31.48\% | 7.52\% | 9.59\% | 19.23\% | 9.19\% | 10.41\% | 7.24\% | 9.03\% | 2.96\% | 0.00\% | 3.40\% | 3.40\% | 2.93\% |
| Havant | 18.01\% | 35.61\% | 27.56\% | 36.37\% | 20.34\% | 23.53\% | 14.79\% | 11.55\% | 19.27\% | 18.22\% | 12.34\% | 15.57\% | 6.20\% | 3.92\% | 15.17\% | 6.20\% | 3.24\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| New Forest | 39.35\% | 22.67\% | 32.73\% | 26.12\% | 25.32\% | 13.31\% | 16.48\% | 11.57\% | 2.27\% | 21.26\% | 20.76\% | 9.38\% | 14.54\% | 2.31\% | 2.18\% | 13.77\% | 7.01\% | 2.52\% | 0.00\% |
| East Hampshire | 27.71\% | 26.36\% | 31.87\% | 31.02\% | 21.15\% | 20.01\% | 9.47\% | 12.98\% | 11.81\% | 26.44\% | 12.53\% | 6.83\% | 5.05\% | 12.10\% | 11.98\% | 7.32\% | 7.72\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Gosport | 58.26\% | 44.98\% | 31.06\% | 23.94\% | 14.54\% | 13.89\% | 6.72\% | 10.56\% | 13.21\% | 6.72\% | 17.56\% | 6.95\% | 3.27\% | 10.19\% | 6.72\% | 7.82\% | 3.24\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Basingstoke and Deane | 48.15\% | 24.42\% | 29.01\% | 21.56\% | 16.64\% | 28.71\% | 14.92\% | 11.31\% | 15.75\% | 10.06\% | 9.62\% | 9.72\% | 9.96\% | 6.37\% | 6.48\% | 8.20\% | 4.99\% | 3.12\% | 0.00\% |
| All | 34.34\% | 28.46\% | 28.98\% | 26.83\% | 21.85\% | 18.64\% | 15.40\% | 13.04\% | 12.27\% | 13.68\% | 13.11\% | 8.61\% | 8.35\% | 7.43\% | 6.85\% | 6.16\% | 5.25\% | 2.52\% | 1.31\% |
| Hart | 23.47\% | 30.50\% | 13.91\% | 38.34\% | 30.43\% | 14.05\% | 16.54\% | 14.12\% | 7.00\% | 13.49\% | 21.80\% | 0.00\% | 3.71\% | 3.79\% | 0.00\% | 17.31\% | 13.76\% | 7.00\% | 3.71\% |
| Rushmoor | 30.04\% | 27.87\% | 39.12\% | 28.72\% | 18.12\% | 14.10\% | 23.80\% | 9.55\% | 20.54\% | 18.24\% | 9.40\% | 0.00\% | 13.90\% | 5.07\% | 5.07\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 4.48\% | 4.48\% |
| Portsmouth | 37.27\% | 28.85\% | 13.55\% | 20.12\% | 33.59\% | 16.60\% | 13.59\% | 17.49\% | 3.77\% | 9.61\% | 5.64\% | 9.12\% | 6.28\% | 14.06\% | 11.62\% | 1.87\% | 9.74\% | 0.00\% | 2.47\% |
| Fareham | 15.05\% | 33.68\% | 27.87\% | 31.62\% | 34.32\% | 9.79\% | 15.65\% | 24.96\% | 6.76\% | 6.03\% | 15.57\% | 0.00\% | 9.13\% | 9.29\% | 2.93\% | 6.24\% | 0.00\% | 6.24\% | 0.00\% |
| Test Valley | 47.38\% | 35.10\% | 40.48\% | 10.26\% | 14.39\% | 14.01\% | 7.41\% | 11.02\% | 21.93\% | 7.04\% | 0.00\% | 13.63\% | 7.34\% | 10.52\% | 3.36\% | 0.00\% | 7.74\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Southampton | 30.31\% | 27.05\% | 27.36\% | 24.54\% | 25.67\% | 16.00\% | 5.66\% | 15.51\% | 23.27\% | 3.57\% | 10.83\% | 8.96\% | 9.66\% | 3.79\% | 5.88\% | 0.00\% | 1.73\% | 1.96\% | 8.47\% |
| Winchester | 33.88\% | 8.46\% | 25.61\% | 18.70\% | 13.36\% | 18.51\% | 17.09\% | 14.15\% | 10.75\% | 8.23\% | 20.28\% | 17.18\% | 10.64\% | 8.23\% | 13.55\% | 0.00\% | 5.26\% | 2.91\% | 2.97\% |

By age/gender 5-way split: support or oppose 29ppw increase

| Support or oppose 29p increase | $\begin{gathered} \text { Female } \\ 18-24 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Female } \\ 25-34 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Female } \\ 35-44 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Female } \\ 45-54 \end{gathered}$ | Female 55+ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ 18-24 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Male } \\ & 25-34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Male } \\ & 35-44 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Male } \\ & 45-54 \end{aligned}$ | Male 55+ | All |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes, I would | 37.69\% | 59.16\% | 71.25\% | 56.37\% | 72.75\% | 64.53\% | 73.44\% | 61.88\% | 71.55\% | 74.13\% | 66.94\% |
| Don't know | 21.15\% | 28.41\% | 9.58\% | 18.66\% | 9.12\% | 1.61\% | 10.59\% | 13.12\% | 3.17\% | 8.15\% | 11.44\% |
| No, I would not | 17.69\% | 11.80\% | 12.97\% | 17.63\% | 11.32\% | 16.93\% | 3.99\% | 15.47\% | 19.47\% | 12.14\% | 13.41\% |
| Not applicable - I don't think policing needs | 23.46\% | 0.62\% | 6.19\% | 7.34\% | 6.81\% | 16.93\% | 11.97\% | 9.53\% | 5.80\% | 5.58\% | 8.21\% |

## By age/gender 5-way split: areas to prioritise to receive funding

| Areas to prioritise to receive funding | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Female } \\ & 18-24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Female } \\ & 25-34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Female } \\ 35-44 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Female } \\ 45-54 \end{gathered}$ | Female 55+ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ 18-24 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Male } \\ & \text { 25-34 } \end{aligned}$ | Male $35-44$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ 45-54 \end{gathered}$ | Male 55+ | All |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anti-social behaviour | 6.67\% | 27.18\% | 37.61\% | 36.80\% | 19.24\% | 15.33\% | 27.78\% | 30.94\% | 33.70\% | 39.26\% | 28.46\% |
| Business crime e.g. shoplifting | 0.00\% | 2.95\% | 2.80\% | 0.00\% | 2.51\% | 7.66\% | 7.29\% | 5.94\% | 0.00\% | 0.27\% | 2.52\% |
| Crime prevention | 37.69\% | 46.12\% | 35.40\% | 34.36\% | 33.86\% | 39.93\% | 43.05\% | 32.18\% | 29.43\% | 26.08\% | 34.34\% |
| Cyber crime | 7.82\% | 8.85\% | 6.19\% | 2.96\% | 7.81\% | 9.27\% | 16.50\% | 5.94\% | 2.63\% | 12.63\% | 8.35\% |
| Domestic abuse | 32.18\% | 20.18\% | 15.19\% | 29.86\% | 19.44\% | 7.66\% | 13.89\% | 19.68\% | 22.10\% | 12.35\% | 18.64\% |
| Don't know | 13.33\% | 9.47\% | 2.80\% | 9.78\% | 3.04\% | 3.21\% | 6.60\% | 9.53\% | 8.97\% | 2.62\% | 5.95\% |
| Effects of drug and alcohol abuse | 18.85\% | 6.52\% | 17.41\% | 8.36\% | 15.94\% | 1.61\% | $7.98 \%$ | 12.50\% | 13.68\% | 17.11\% | 13.04\% |
| Fraud/scams | 5.51\% | 6.52\% | 6.78\% | 10.81\% | 16.34\% | 15.33\% | 11.97\% | 5.94\% | 13.13\% | 21.26\% | 13.11\% |
| Hate crime | 16.54\% | 9.47\% | 17.41\% | 10.30\% | 6.81\% | 15.33\% | 3.99\% | 10.15\% | 3.72\% | 4.48\% | 8.61\% |
| Increased police forensic capacity | 0.00\% | 3.57\% | 2.80\% | 4.89\% | 12.11\% | 0.00\% | 3.30\% | 6.56\% | 3.72\% | 3.94\% | 5.25\% |
| Knife crime | 12.18\% | 12.42\% | 15.19\% | 17.12\% | 15.67\% | 9.27\% | 6.60\% | 22.03\% | 10.50\% | 22.09\% | 15.40\% |
| Other | 3.46\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 2.45\% | 1.26\% | 0.00\% | 3.30\% | 2.97\% | 0.55\% | 0.55\% | 1.31\% |
| Police officer welfare/wellbeing | 1.15\% | 6.52\% | 11.21\% | 3.47\% | 4.82\% | 15.33\% | 9.90\% | 8.91\% | 10.50\% | 4.00\% | 6.85\% |
| Road safety | 6.67\% | 6.52\% | 11.21\% | 5.40\% | 5.82\% | 15.33\% | 7.98\% | 3.59\% | 7.88\% | 7.66\% | 7.43\% |
| Rural crime | 5.51\% | 5.90\% | 5.61\% | 4.89\% | 6.55\% | 15.33\% | 0.00\% | 2.97\% | 0.00\% | 10.77\% | 6.16\% |
| Supporting victims | 34.49\% | 20.66\% | 17.99\% | 2.45\% | 9.06\% | 22.99\% | 16.66\% | 6.56\% | 15.75\% | 2.90\% | 12.27\% |
| Targeted operational policing | 0.00\% | 12.42\% | 11.80\% | 7.85\% | 15.61\% | 7.66\% | 10.59\% | 5.94\% | 21.55\% | 22.85\% | 13.68\% |
| Theft (i.e. burglary and vehicle crime) | 7.82\% | 15.99\% | 28.62\% | 23.55\% | 16.20\% | 32.26\% | 25.86\% | 33.29\% | 21.12\% | 21.60\% | 21.85\% |
| Violent crimes | 13.33\% | 19.56\% | 17.99\% | 30.38\% | 39.42\% | 33.87\% | 7.98\% | 17.82\% | 27.35\% | 31.06\% | 26.83\% |
| Youth diversion (i.e. activities/ services .. | 43.20\% | 31.37\% | 30.38\% | 29.86\% | 32.34\% | 22.99\% | 39.06\% | 15.47\% | 29.43\% | 21.81\% | 28.98\% |

By ethnicity: support or oppose 29ppw increase

| Support or oppose 29p increase |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ᄃ. } \\ & \text { 會 } \\ & \text { 亮 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ü } \\ & \frac{4}{5} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ₹ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes, I would | 100.00\% | 78.96\% | 100.00\% | 85.43\% | 66.16\% | 76.37\% | 0.00\% | 70.87\% | 46.52\% | 17.31\% | 0.00\% | 66.35\% |
| Don't know | 0.00\% | 9.86\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 12.24\% | 23.63\% | 30.77\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 12.02\% |
| No, I would not | 0.00\% | 2.07\% | 0.00\% | 14.57\% | 13.55\% | 0.00\% | 69.23\% | 23.65\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 13.24\% |
| Not applicable - I don't think policing needs increased funding | 0.00\% | 9.11\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 8.05\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 5.48\% | 53.48\% | 82.69\% | 100.00\% | 8.39\% |

By ethnicity: areas to prioritise to receive funding

| Areas to prioritise to receive funding | $\overline{\text { ¢ }}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ᄃ } \\ & \text { § } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 등 } \\ & \text { 음 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anti-social behaviour | 28.53\% | 0.00\% | 4.32\% | 0.00\% | 16.45\% | 28.43\% | 47.14\% | 0.00\% | 51.68\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Business crime e.g. shoplifting | 2.67\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 2.32\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 48.32\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Crime prevention | 33.84\% | 0.00\% | 31.44\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 34.77\% | 29.22\% | 69.23\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 17.31\% | 0.00\% |
| Cyber crime | 8.55\% | 100.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 8.65\% | 23.69\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Domestic abuse | 18.98\% | 100.00\% | 24.11\% | 0.00\% | 68.99\% | 18.77\% | 0.00\% | 69.23\% | 5.48\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Don't know | 5.77\% | 0.00\% | 20.63\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 5.61\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Effects of drug and alcohol abuse | 13.60\% | 0.00\% | 1.94\% | 100.00\% | 0.00\% | 14.27\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Fraud/scams | 13.41\% | 0.00\% | 24.91\% | 0.00\% | 14.57\% | 13.57\% | 5.59\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Hate crime | 8.85\% | 0.00\% | 11.41\% | 0.00\% | 14.57\% | 8.86\% | 23.63\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Increased police forensic capacity | 4.94\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 4.74\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 22.55\% | 53.48\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Knife crime | 14.38\% | 100.00\% | 2.25\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 13.80\% | 94.41\% | 69.23\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Other | 1.32\% | 0.00\% | 2.07\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 1.36\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Police officer welfare/wellbeing | 7.22\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 0.00\% | 7.48\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Road safety | 7.76\% | 0.00\% | 11.55\% | 0.00\% | 16.45\% | 7.13\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 48.32\% | 46.52\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Rural crime | 6.21\% | 0.00\% | 9.27\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 6.14\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 82.69\% | 0.00\% |
| Supporting victims | 11.79\% | 0.00\% | 6.90\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 12.37\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Targeted operational policing | 14.03\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 14.97\% | 5.59\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Theft (i.e. burglary and vehicle cri.. | 21.67\% | 0.00\% | 27.70\% | 0.00\% | 85.43\% | 20.47\% | 23.63\% | 0.00\% | 71.97\% | 53.48\% | 100.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Violent crimes | 27.29\% | 0.00\% | 30.10\% | 0.00\% | 68.99\% | 26.76\% | 47.09\% | 30.77\% | 46.20\% | 46.52\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Youth diversion (i.e. activities/ ser.. | 28.74\% | 0.00\% | 29.39\% | 100.00\% | 14.57\% | 29.55\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 5.48\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 100.00\% |

Appendix 2: Budget survey 2021 data tables (Alchemer)

Participants by district

| District |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| New Forest | $9.08 \%$ |
| Eastleigh | $8.97 \%$ |
| Basingstoke and Deane | $8.68 \%$ |
| Havant | $8.13 \%$ |
| Southampton | $7.28 \%$ |
| Test Valley | $7.26 \%$ |
| Fareham | $6.45 \%$ |
| Rushmoor | $5.34 \%$ |
| Isle of Wight | $5.21 \%$ |
| Gosport | $5.14 \%$ |
| Portsmouth | $5.10 \%$ |
| Winchester | $5.07 \%$ |
| Hart | $4.75 \%$ |
| East Hants | $4.40 \%$ |
| Null | $9.12 \%$ |

Participant support/oppose of 29ppw increase by district

| District | Yes, I would | I don't know | No, I would not |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hart | 73.26\% | 3.10\% | 23.64\% |
| Test Valley | 72.59\% | 3.30\% | 24.11\% |
| Eastleigh | 71.66\% | 3.90\% | 24.44\% |
| Portsmouth | 71.48\% | 5.05\% | 23.47\% |
| Fareham | 70.86\% | 2.00\% | 27.14\% |
| Winchester | 68.36\% | 2.18\% | 29.45\% |
| Southampton | 67.09\% | 5.06\% | 27.85\% |
| Gosport | 65.59\% | 2.15\% | 32.26\% |
| Basingstoke and Deane | 64.33\% | 7.01\% | 28.66\% |
| Isle of Wight | 63.96\% | 4.95\% | 31.10\% |
| East Hants | 62.76\% | 6.69\% | 30.54\% |
| New Forest | 62.47\% | 4.06\% | 33.47\% |
| Havant | 62.13\% | 4.54\% | 33.33\% |
| Rushmoor | 62.07\% | 4.14\% | 33.79\% |

Participants by age

| My age is: |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| $15-17$ | $0.13 \%$ |
| $18-24$ | $0.83 \%$ |
| $25-34$ | $3.34 \%$ |
| $35-44$ | $7.46 \%$ |
| $45-54$ | $12.49 \%$ |
| $55-64$ | $19.40 \%$ |
| $65-74$ | $25.06 \%$ |
| $75+$ | $14.19 \%$ |

Participants by gender

| Female | $34.07 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Male | $57.05 \%$ |
| Prefer not to say | $3.02 \%$ |
| Trans | $0.29 \%$ |
| Null | $5.56 \%$ |

Participants by age support/oppose 29ppw increase of precept

| My age is: | Yes, <br> would | Idon't <br> know | Nould I <br> wot |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $15-17$ | $57.14 \%$ |  | $42.86 \%$ |
| $18-24$ | $68.89 \%$ | $2.22 \%$ | $28.89 \%$ |
| $25-34$ | $54.14 \%$ | $3.87 \%$ | $4.1 .99 \%$ |
| $35-44$ | $60.49 \%$ | $3.46 \%$ | $36.05 \%$ |
| $45-54$ | $63.57 \%$ | $2.95 \%$ | $33.48 \%$ |
| $55-64$ | $62.30 \%$ | $4.46 \%$ | $33.24 \%$ |
| $65-74$ | $71.03 \%$ | $5.00 \%$ | $23.97 \%$ |
| $75+$ | $73.51 \%$ | $4.94 \%$ | $21.56 \%$ |

Participants by gender support/oppose 29ppw increase of precept

| What best <br> describes your <br> gender identity... | Yes, I <br> would | Idon't <br> know | No, I <br> would not |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Female | $\mathbf{7 4 . 5 8 \%}$ | $5.14 \%$ | $20.28 \%$ |
| Male | $64.92 \%$ | $3.78 \%$ | $31.30 \%$ |
| Prefer not to say | $19.51 \%$ | $5.49 \%$ | $\mathbf{7 5 . 0 0 \%}$ |
| Trans | $18.75 \%$ |  | $81.25 \%$ |
| Null | $\mathbf{4 7 . 3 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 2 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 . 8 1 \%}$ |

## Participants by age/gender

| My age is: | Female | Male | refer not to say | Trans | Null |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15-17 | 28.57\% | 42.86\% | 28.57\% |  |  |
| 18-24 | 46.67\% | 46.67\% | 4.44\% | 2.22\% |  |
| 25-34 | 50.83\% | 44.20\% | 4.97\% |  |  |
| 35-44 | 46.67\% | 49.14\% | 3.95\% | 0.25\% |  |
| 45-54 | 42.77\% | 53.83\% | 2.95\% | 0.15\% | 0.29\% |
| 55-64 | 40.36\% | 56.32\% | 2.94\% | 0.28\% | 0.09\% |
| 65-74 | 32.87\% | 65.07\% | 1.47\% | 0.15\% | 0.44\% |
| 75+ | 24.42\% | 73.38\% | 1.30\% | 0.52\% | 0.39\% |
| Null | 21.01\% | 41.49\% | 5.82\% | 0.43\% | 31.25\% |

## Participants by age/gender support/oppose 29ppw

|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{\pi} \\ & \stackrel{E}{\omega} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{\stackrel{0}{10}}{\stackrel{10}{2}}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Would you support an increase of 29p per week (Base. | Null | 15-17 | 18-24 | 25-34 | 35-44 | 45-54 | 55-64 | 65-74 | 75+ | Null | 15-17 | 18-24 | 25-34 | 35-44 | 45-54 | 55-64 | 65-74 | 75+ |
| Yes, I would | 77.95\% | 100.00\% | 66.67\% | 59.78\% | 65.61\% | 73.10\% | 71.06\% | 81.43\% | 81.91\% | 69.09\% | 66.67\% | 71.43\% | 52.50\% | 59.80\% | 58.90\% | 58.68\% | 67.23\% | 72.21\% |
| I don't know | 5.64\% |  | 4.76\% | 5.43\% | 4.76\% | 3.10\% | 6.35\% | 4.92\% | 5.85\% | 3.64\% |  |  | 2.50\% | 2.01\% | 2.47\% | 3.04\% | 4.97\% | 4.60\% |
| No, I would .. | 16.41\% |  | 28.57\% | 34.78\% | 29.63\% | 23.79\% | 22.59\% | 13.65\% | 12.23\% | 27.27\% | 33.33\% | 28.57\% | 45.00\% | 38.19\% | 38.63\% | 38.28\% | 27.80\% | 23.19\% |

Participant's ethnicity:

| I identify my ethnicity as: |  |
| :--- | ---: |
|  |  |
| White British | $84.74 \%$ |
| Null | $8.09 \%$ |
| Other: Please state | $2.65 \%$ |
| Any other white backgrou.. | $1.92 \%$ |
| White Irish | $0.64 \%$ |
| Any other mixed backgrou.. | $0.41 \%$ |
| Asian - Indian | $0.31 \%$ |
| Gypsy Roma Traveller | $0.22 \%$ |
| White \& Black African | $0.15 \%$ |
| White \& Asian | $0.13 \%$ |
| Asian Bangladeshi | $0.13 \%$ |
| Any other ethnic group | $0.13 \%$ |
| Chinese | $0.09 \%$ |
| Asian - Pakistani | $0.09 \%$ |
| Black African | $0.07 \%$ |
| Any other Black backgrou.. | $0.07 \%$ |
| White \& Black Caribbean | $0.06 \%$ |
| Black Caribbean | $0.06 \%$ |
| Any other Asian backgrou.. | $0.04 \%$ |

Participants ethnicity support/oppose 29ppw increase of the precept

| I identify my ethnicity as: | Yes, I would | I don't know | No, I would not | Null |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Any other Black backgrou.. | 100.00\% |  |  |  |
| Any other mixed backgrou.. | 77.27\% |  | 22.73\% |  |
| White British | 68.86\% | 4.26\% | 26.88\% |  |
| Any other white backgrou.. | 67.31\% | 5.77\% | 26.92\% |  |
| White \& Black Caribbean | 66.67\% |  | 33.33\% |  |
| White Irish | 65.71\% | 2.86\% | 31.43\% |  |
| Asian - Pakistani | 60.00\% |  | 40.00\% |  |
| Asian - Indian | 52.94\% | 5.88\% | 41.18\% |  |
| Any other Asian backgrou.. | 50.00\% |  | 50.00\% |  |
| Gypsy Roma Traveller | 50.00\% |  | 50.00\% |  |
| Null | 48.52\% | 11.16\% | 33.03\% | 7.29\% |
| White \& Asian | 42.86\% | 14.29\% | 42.86\% |  |
| Chinese | 40.00\% |  | 60.00\% |  |
| White \& Black African | 37.50\% | 12.50\% | 50.00\% |  |
| Black Caribbean | 33.33\% |  | 66.67\% |  |
| Asian Bangladeshi | 28.57\% |  | 71.43\% |  |
| Other: Please state | 27.08\% | 4.17\% | 68.75\% |  |
| Black African | 25.00\% |  | 75.00\% |  |
| Any other ethnic group | 14.29\% |  | 85.71\% |  |

Appendix 3: Focus group and consultation panel

## Participants by district

| District |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Test Valley | $10.24 \%$ |
| New Forest | $10.24 \%$ |
| Basingstoke and Deane | $10.24 \%$ |
| Portsmouth | $9.45 \%$ |
| Havant | $9.45 \%$ |
| Southampton | $7.87 \%$ |
| Rushmoor | $7.09 \%$ |
| Fareham | $7.09 \%$ |
| Eastleigh | $7.09 \%$ |
| Winchester | $5.51 \%$ |
| Gosport | $5.51 \%$ |
| East Hampshire | $4.72 \%$ |
| Isle of Wight | $3.15 \%$ |
| Hart | $2.36 \%$ |

Participants by district support/oppose 29ppw increase

| District | Yes, I would | Don't know | No, I would not | Not <br> applicable <br> - don't <br> think <br> policing <br> need <br> increased <br> funding |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Test Valley | 92.31\% |  | 7.69\% |  |
| Eastleigh | 88.89\% |  | 11.11\% |  |
| East Hampshire | 83.33\% |  |  | 16.67\% |
| Portsmouth | 83.33\% |  | 16.67\% |  |
| New Forest | 76.92\% |  | 15.38\% | 7.69\% |
| Isle of Wight | 75.00\% |  | 25.00\% |  |
| Winchester | 71.43\% | 14.29\% | 14.29\% |  |
| Gosport | 57.14\% |  | 42.86\% |  |
| Fareham | 55.56\% |  | 33.33\% | 11.11\% |
| Rushmoor | 55.56\% |  | 44.44\% |  |
| Southampton | 50.00\% |  | 50.00\% |  |
| Havant | 50.00\% | 8.33\% | 41.67\% |  |
| Basingstoke and Deane | 46.15\% | 7.69\% | 38.46\% | 7.69\% |
| Hart | 33.33\% | 33.33\% | 33.33\% |  |

Participants by age

| My age: |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| $18-24$ | $1.57 \%$ |
| $25-34$ | $2.36 \%$ |
| $35-44$ | $3.94 \%$ |
| $45-54$ | $8.66 \%$ |
| $55-64$ | $22.05 \%$ |
| $65-74$ | $43.31 \%$ |
| $75+$ | $18.11 \%$ |

Participants by gender

| Female | $36.22 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Male | $62.20 \%$ |
| Other - Write In | $\mathbf{1 . 5 7 \%}$ |

## Participants by age support/oppose 29ppw precept increase

| My age: | Yes, I would | Don't know | No, I would <br> not | Not applicable <br> - don't think <br> policing need <br> increased <br> funding |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $18-24$ | $100.00 \%$ |  |  |  |
| $25-34$ | $66.67 \%$ |  | $33.33 \%$ |  |
| $35-44$ | $60.00 \%$ |  | $40.00 \%$ |  |
| $45-54$ | $36.36 \%$ | $9.09 \%$ | $45.45 \%$ | $9.09 \%$ |
| $55-64$ | $67.86 \%$ |  | $32.14 \%$ |  |
| $65-74$ | $70.91 \%$ | $3.64 \%$ | $21.82 \%$ | $3.64 \%$ |
| $75+$ | $69.57 \%$ | $4.35 \%$ | $21.74 \%$ | $4.35 \%$ |

Participants by gender support/oppose 29ppw precept increase
Not applicable don't think Yes, I would Don't know No, I would not policing need increased funding

|  |  |  |  | funding |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Female | $78.26 \%$ | $4.35 \%$ | $17.39 \%$ |  |
| Male | $59.49 \%$ | $2.53 \%$ | $32.91 \%$ | $5.06 \%$ |
| Other - Write $\ln$ | $100.00 \%$ |  |  |  |

00.00\%

## Participants by age/gender

|  | Female |  | Mather - <br> Male |  | Write In |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| $18-24$ |  | $50.00 \%$ | $50.00 \%$ |  |  |
| $25-34$ | $100.00 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| $35-44$ | $60.00 \%$ | $40.00 \%$ |  |  |  |
| $45-54$ | $27.27 \%$ | $72.73 \%$ |  |  |  |
| $55-64$ | $35.71 \%$ | $64.29 \%$ |  |  |  |
| $65-74$ | $38.18 \%$ | $60.00 \%$ | $1.82 \%$ |  |  |
| $75+$ | $26.09 \%$ | $73.91 \%$ |  |  |  |

Participants by age/gender support/oppose 29ppw precept increase

|  | I identify my gender as: / My age: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Female |  |  |  |  |  | Male |  |  |  |  |  | Other - Write In$18-24 \quad 65-74$ |  |
|  | 25-34 | 35-44 | 45-54 | 55-64 | 65-74 | $75+$ | 18-24 | 35-44 | 45-54 | 55-64 | 65-74 | 75+ |  |  |
| Yes, I would | 66.67\% | 66.67\% | 66.67\% | 80.00\% | 80.95\% | 83.33\% | 100.00\% | 50.00\% | 25.00\% | 61.11\% | 63.64\% | 64.71\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Don't know |  |  | 33.33\% |  | 4.76\% |  |  |  |  |  | 3.03\% | 5.88\% |  |  |
| No, I would not | 33.33\% | 33.33\% |  | 20.00\% | 14.29\% | 16.67\% |  | 50.00\% | 62 50\% | 38.89\% | 27.27\% | 23.53\% |  |  |
| Not applicable - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12.50\% |  | 6.06\% | 5.88\% |  |  |

Participants by ethnicity

| I identify my ethnicity as: |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| White British | $87.40 \%$ |
| Prefer not to say | $3.15 \%$ |
| Other - Write In | $3.15 \%$ |
| Any other white backgrou.. | $1.57 \%$ |
| Any other mixed backgrou.. | $1.57 \%$ |
| White Irish | $0.79 \%$ |
| White and Black Caribbean | $0.79 \%$ |
| Gypsy Roma Traveller | $0.79 \%$ |
| Asian- Indian | $0.79 \%$ |

Participants by ethnicity support/oppose 29ppw precept increase

| I identify my ethnicity as: | Yes, I would | Don't know | No, I would not | Not applicable - don't think policing need increased funding |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White British | 69.37\% | 3.60\% | 23.42\% | 3.60\% |
| Other - Write In | 50.00\% |  | 50.00\% |  |
| Asian- Indian | 100.00\% |  |  |  |
| Gypsy Roma Traveller | 100.00\% |  |  |  |
| White Irish | 100.00\% |  |  |  |
| Any other mixed backgrou.. | 50.00\% |  | 50.00\% |  |
| Any other white backgrou.. | 50.00\% |  | 50.00\% |  |
| Prefer not to say | 25.00\% |  | 75.00\% |  |
| White and Black Caribbean |  |  | 100.00\% |  |

Priority areas by district

|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{t}{\circ} \\ & \text { O} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sigma} \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & \stackrel{4}{0} \\ & \frac{v}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 苟 } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{1}{3} \\ & \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{o} \\ & \stackrel{0}{E} \\ & \frac{5}{5} \\ & \stackrel{n}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\pi} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sim} \\ & \stackrel{\omega}{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\#} \\ & \stackrel{4}{0} \\ & \frac{1}{u} \\ & \stackrel{y}{3} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anti-social behaviour | 13.16\% | 17.65\% | 25.93\% | 14.29\% | 18.18\% | 33.33\% | 20.93\% | 25.00\% | 7.89\% | 13.16\% | 3.45\% | 13.79\% | 14.63\% | 9.38\% |
| Theft (i.e. burglary and vehicle crime) | 13.16\% | 5.88\% | 18.52\% | 14.29\% | 13.64\% | 22.22\% | 4.65\% | 0.00\% | 15.79\% | 2.63\% | 0.00\% | 17.24\% | 9.76\% | 3.13\% |
| Knife crime | 7.89\% | 11.76\% | 7.41\% | 7.14\% | 9.09\% | 0.00\% | 6.98\% | 16.67\% | 7.89\% | 21.05\% | 0.00\% | 6.90\% | 7.32\% | 3.13\% |
| Effects of drug and alcohol abuse | 2.63\% | 5.88\% | 3.70\% | 7.14\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 6.98\% | 25.00\% | 0.00\% | 7.89\% | 10.34\% | 3.45\% | 7.32\% | 3.13\% |
| Violent crimes | 5.26\% | 5.88\% | 11.11\% | 10.71\% | 4.55\% | 0.00\% | 4.65\% | 8.33\% | 2.63\% | 7.89\% | 3.45\% | 6.90\% | 2.44\% | 6.25\% |
| Youth diversion | 2.63\% | 0.00\% | 3.70\% | 7.14\% | 9.09\% | 0.00\% | 2.33\% | 0.00\% | 10.53\% | 2.63\% | 10.34\% | 6.90\% | 7.32\% | 9.38\% |
| Road safety | 2.63\% | 0.00\% | 3.70\% | 3.57\% | 0.00\% | 11.11\% | 2.33\% | 16.67\% | 0.00\% | 2.63\% | 6.90\% | 3.45\% | 7.32\% | 9.38\% |
| Rural crime | 7.89\% | 11.76\% | 0.00\% | 3.57\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 7.89\% | 2.63\% | 6.90\% | 3.45\% | 17.07\% | 6.25\% |
| Domestic abuse | 7.89\% | 5.88\% | 7.41\% | 7.14\% | 4.55\% | 11.11\% | 4.65\% | 0.00\% | 2.63\% | 0.00\% | 6.90\% | 0.00\% | 2.44\% | 6.25\% |
| Fraud/scams | 0.00\% | 17.65\% | 7.41\% | 7.14\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 6.98\% | 0.00\% | 7.89\% | 5.26\% | 0.00\% | 3.45\% | 7.32\% | 3.13\% |
| Targeted operational policing | 7.89\% | 5.88\% | 3.70\% | 0.00\% | 4.55\% | 0.00\% | 6.98\% | 0.00\% | 7.89\% | 2.63\% | 6.90\% | 6.90\% | 2.44\% | 6.25\% |
| Police officer welfare/wellbeing | 2.63\% | 5.88\% | 3.70\% | 0.00\% | 13.64\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 7.89\% | 7.89\% | 3.45\% | 3.45\% | 4.88\% | 3.13\% |
| Cyber crime | 7.89\% | 0.00\% | 3.70\% | 3.57\% | 4.55\% | 0.00\% | 4.65\% | 0.00\% | 7.89\% | 0.00\% | 10.34\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 9.38\% |
| Crime prevention | 2.63\% | 5.88\% | 0.00\% | 10.71\% | 4.55\% | 11.11\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 2.63\% | 5.26\% | 0.00\% | 3.45\% | 4.88\% | 0.00\% |
| Other | 5.26\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 4.55\% | 0.00\% | 11.63\% | 0.00\% | 2.63\% | 2.63\% | 6.90\% | 6.90\% | 2.44\% | 6.25\% |
| Business crime | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 11.11\% | 2.33\% | 0.00\% | 2.63\% | 5.26\% | 0.00\% | 6.90\% | 0.00\% | 3.13\% |
| Increased police forensic capacity | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 2.33\% | 8.33\% | 2.63\% | 5.26\% | 6.90\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Supporting victims | 2.63\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 4.55\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 2.63\% | 6.90\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 3.13\% |
| Hate crime | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 3.57\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 3.45\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 3.13\% |
| Don't know if policing needs more funding | 2.63\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |

Priority areas by age/gender

|  | Female |  |  |  |  |  | Male |  |  |  |  |  | Other - Write In |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 25-34 | 35-44 | 45-54 | 55-64 | 65-74 | 75+ | 18-24 | 35-44 | 45-54 | 55-64 | 65-74 | 75+ | 18-24 | 65-74 |
| Anti-social behaviour | 0.00\% | 11.11\% | 16.67\% | 16.67\% | 15.15\% | 11.11\% | 0.00\% | 16.67\% | 12.00\% | 14.29\% | 19.61\% | 12.50\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Knife crime | 22.22\% | 22.22\% | 5.56\% | 13.33\% | 7.58\% | 16.67\% | 33.33\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 7.14\% | 10.78\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Theft (i.e. burglary and vehicle crime) | 11.11\% | 22.22\% | 5.56\% | 6.67\% | 7.58\% | 5.56\% | 0.00\% | 33.33\% | 12.00\% | 7.14\% | 11.76\% | 10.71\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Other | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 4.55\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 8.00\% | 3.57\% | 2.94\% | 8.93\% | 33.33\% | 50.00\% |
| Violent crimes | 11.11\% | 0.00\% | 5.56\% | 6.67\% | 6.06\% | 0.00\% | 33.33\% | 16.67\% | 8.00\% | 5.36\% | 5.88\% | 3.57\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Effects of drug and alcohol abuse | 22.22\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 3.33\% | 1.52\% | 11.11\% | 0.00\% | 16.67\% | 0.00\% | 12.50\% | 3.92\% | 7.14\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Youth diversion | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 6.67\% | 9.09\% | 11.11\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 10.71\% | 3.92\% | 3.57\% | 33.33\% | 0.00\% |
| Business crime | 22.22\% | 0.00\% | 11.11\% | 3.33\% | 1.52\% | 5.56\% | 33.33\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Police officer welfare/wellbeing | 0.00\% | 11.11\% | 5.56\% | 3.33\% | 4.55\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 16.67\% | 4.00\% | 1.79\% | 6.86\% | 1.79\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Fraud/scams | 0.00\% | 11.11\% | 5.56\% | 10.00\% | 6.06\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 8.00\% | 0.00\% | 5.88\% | 5.36\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Domestic abuse | 0.00\% | 11.11\% | 5.56\% | 13.33\% | 6.06\% | 5.56\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 1.79\% | 3.92\% | 3.57\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Cyber crime | 11.11\% | 0.00\% | 5.56\% | 0.00\% | 4.55\% | 11.11\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 5.36\% | 2.94\% | 7.14\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Rural crime | 0.00\% | 11.11\% | 5.56\% | 3.33\% | 9.09\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 5.36\% | 6.86\% | 5.36\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Targeted operational policing | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 11.11\% | 6.67\% | 3.03\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 8.00\% | 3.57\% | 4.90\% | 8.93\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Road safety | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 5.56\% | 0.00\% | 3.03\% | 5.56\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 12.00\% | 7.14\% | 1.96\% | 7.14\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Crime prevention | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 3.33\% | 3.03\% | 11.11\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 8.00\% | 1.79\% | 3.92\% | 1.79\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Supporting victims | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 5.56\% | 3.33\% | 1.52\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 8.00\% | 1.79\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Increased police forensic capacity | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 1.52\% | 5.56\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 4.00\% | 5.36\% | 0.98\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Hate crime | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 5.56\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 1.79\% | 0.00\% | 1.79\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Don't know if policing needs more funding | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 1.79\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |

Priority area by ethnicity

|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \pm \\ & \stackrel{4}{2} \\ & 3 \\ & \frac{1}{0} \\ & \frac{5}{4} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{5}{\frac{n}{2}} \\ & \frac{1}{2} \\ & \frac{y}{7} \\ & \frac{5}{3} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Knife crime | 0.00\% | 16.67\% | 33.33\% | 0.00\% | 11.11\% | 0.00\% | 33.33\% | 8.15\% | 0.00\% |
| Theft (i.e. burglary and vehicle crime) | 16.67\% | 16.67\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 15.38\% | 33.33\% | 9.55\% | 0.00\% |
| Cyber crime | 16.67\% | 16.67\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 7.69\% | 33.33\% | 3.65\% | 0.00\% |
| Fraud/scams | 16.67\% | 16.67\% | 0.00\% | 33.33\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 4.78\% | 0.00\% |
| Road safety | 0.00\% | 16.67\% | 0.00\% | 33.33\% | 11.11\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 3.93\% | 0.00\% |
| Police officer welfare/wellbeing | 16.67\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 33.33\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 4.21\% | 0.00\% |
| Other | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 11.11\% | 7.69\% | 0.00\% | 3.93\% | 25.00\% |
| Youth diversion | 0.00\% | 16.67\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 5.90\% | 25.00\% |
| Violent crimes | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 33.33\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 7.69\% | 0.00\% | 5.90\% | 0.00\% |
| Business crime | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 33.33\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 7.69\% | 0.00\% | 1.69\% | 0.00\% |
| Anti-social behaviour | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 11.11\% | 15.38\% | 0.00\% | 16.01\% | 0.00\% |
| Targeted operational policing | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 7.69\% | 0.00\% | 5.06\% | 25.00\% |
| Increased police forensic capacity | 16.67\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 11.11\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 1.40\% | 0.00\% |
| Crime prevention | 16.67\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 7.69\% | 0.00\% | 3.09\% | 0.00\% |
| Effects of drug and alcohol abuse | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 11.11\% | 7.69\% | 0.00\% | 5.62\% | 0.00\% |
| Rural crime | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 11.11\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 5.90\% | 0.00\% |
| Domestic abuse | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 7.69\% | 0.00\% | 4.78\% | 0.00\% |
| Don't know if policing needs more funding | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 11.11\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Supporting victims | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 1.69\% | 0.00\% |
| Hate crime | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.84\% | 0.00\% |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Band D properties (6 out of 10 properties will pay less than this). This is a $7.10 \%$ increase, $£ 15$ a year.
    ${ }^{2}$ via Alchemer

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ https://business.yougov.com/product/crunch

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6p4xLJ2GSb4\&feature=youtu.be

